

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/005,004	12/04/2001	Dwight W. Miller	11613.7USC1	8268
23552 7:	590 12/16/2003		EXAMINER	
MERCHANT & GOULD PC			SODERQUIST, ARLEN	
P.O. BOX 2903	3 IS, MN 55402-0903		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
MININEAUOLI	10, WIT 33 102 0 9 0 5		1743	
			DATE MAILED: 12/16/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>.</u>			<i>6</i> 03				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/005,004	MILLER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
•	Arlen Soderquist	1743					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply	VIC CET TO EVOIDE 4 MC	NITU(C) EDOM					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a re by within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT be, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timel HS from the mailing date of this c	y. ommunication.				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	 action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers		•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
·	kammer. Note the attached	Office Action of form P	10-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 							
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Clago				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest			I continution)				
since a specific reference was included in the fir 37 CFR 1.78.							
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	* *						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ımmary (PTO-413) Paper No(
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _		formal Patent Application (PTC	D-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03)

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/005,004

Art Unit: 1743

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-18, 23-25 and 30, drawn to an indicator device, classified in class 422, subclass 56.
- II. Claim 19, drawn to a method of making an indicator device, classified in class427, subclass 2.
- III. Claims 20-22 and 26-29, drawn to methods of detecting spoiled foods, classified in class 436, subclass 2.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by a different process such as dispersing an indicator compound in a different medium such as gelatin or thermoplastic followed by coating the composition onto a substrate (support).
- 3. Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product can be used for a different process such as detecting if a heat labile pharmaceutical has been exposed to or heated above a certain temperature.
- 4. Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions disclose different methods in which the method of making is not required for the method of use. The methods also have different modes of operation and effect.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1743

6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Groups I or III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

7. A telephone call was made to John Gresens on December 12, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arlen Soderquist whose current telephone number is (703) 308-3989. After about December 16, 2003, this number will change to (571) 272-1265 as a result of the examiner moving to the new USPTO location. The examiner's schedule is variable between the hours of about 5:30 AM to about 5:00 PM on Monday through Thursday and alternate Fridays.

For communication by fax to the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned, (703) 305-7719 may be used for official, unofficial or draft papers. When using this number a call to alert the examiner would be appreciated. Numbers for faxing official papers are 703-872-9310 (before finals), 703-872-9311 (after-final), 703-305-7718, 703-305-5408 and 703-305-5433. The above fax numbers will generally allow the papers to be forwarded to the examiner in a timely manner.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

December 15, 2003

odligust

ARLEN SODERQUIST.
PRIMARY EXAMINER